

# APM

POSEIDON HOUSE • CASTLE PARK • CAMBRIDGE CB3 0RD UNITED KINGDOM  
+44 1223 515010 • Fax +44 1223 359779 • Email: [apm@ansa.co.uk](mailto:apm@ansa.co.uk) • URL: <http://www.ansa.co.uk>

---

**ANSA**

## **SSL Package Comparison**

**Takanori Ugai**

---

### **Abstract**

We have investigated some SSL implementation in Java to use the secure communication in FlexiNet and MOW. This is a comparison report of several SSL implementation. Functional comparison is done by public information through web and documents and performance comparison between IAİK and JCP is done to implement FlexiNet to use them.

---

**Approved**  
Technical Report

17 April 1998

---

**Distribution:**  
**Supersedes:**  
**Superseded by:**



---

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>1 SSL PACKAGES IN JAVA</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 COMPARISONS</b>	<b>3</b>
2 .1 JCE Implementation	3
2 .2 ASN.1 and X509Certificate	4
2 .3 SSL Functions	4
2 .4 Other issues	6
<b>3 PERFORMANCE</b>	<b>8</b>
3 .1 Cipher through SSL	8



---

# I. SSL PACKAGES IN JAVA

---

We are investigating the integration of FlexiNet and SSL for secure communication in the FollowMe Mobile Object Workbench.

All SSL packages here are available outside the U.S and could be used in Java except Cryptix, which only provides the JCE implementation.

- ◆ IAIK SSL (<http://jcewww.iaik.tu-graz.ac.at/>)
  - Price :
    - IAIK SSL Developers Licence with IAIK JCE \$430 (400EURO)
    - additional license up to 10 \$120 (110EURO)
  - Entirely written in Java
  - Provides `java.net.Socket` interface, which means it is easy to integrate with RMI or other systems
  - has some APIs to enforce the authorisation policy
  - has an API to add encryption algorithms
  - Enough stable for proto-type systems
  - There is an active mailing list for users
  - The response to queries by e-mail is quite good
  - Based on JCE standard interface
- ◆ JCP (<http://www.jcp.co.uk/>)
  - Price :
    - Research License 1000 pounds
    - Commercial license not-specified bt around 10k pounds
    - Compulsory support license 1000 pounds additional
  - Entirely written in Java
  - Provides `java.net.Socket` interface
  - has some APIs to enforce the authorisation policy
  - has an API to add encryption algorithms
  - Based on JCE standard interface
- ◆ J/SSL (<http://www.baltimore.ie/>)
  - Entirely written in Java

- Provides java.net.Socket interface
  - has some APIs to enforce the authorisation policy
  - has an API to add encryption algorithms
  - Based on JCE standard interface
- ◆ SSLeay
- <http://psych.psy.uq.oz.au/~ftp/Crypto/>
  - <http://noc.kpnw.org/~scott/> (Java implementation)
  - free even for commercial purposes
  - not in Java but native code + Java interface
  - only available on Solaris and NT
  - requires of modification of JDK sources
  - The client information is not being passed back up to the application
- ◆ Cryptix (<http://www.systemics.com/software/>)
- Systemics is a Netherlands base company, but package is developed by volunteers
  - SSL is not released
  - free even for commercial purposes
  - entirely written in Java

---

# I. COMPARISONS

---

## A. JCE Implementation

---

### Cipher

	DES	IDEA	RC4	RC2	3DES	RSA	Blowfish	SPEED	CAST
IAIK	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	n	n
JCP	y	y	y	n	y	y	n	n	n
J/SSL	y	n	y	n	y	y	n	n	n
IJCE	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y

### Hash function

	MD5	SHA0	SHA1	MD2	MD4
IAIK	y	y	y	n	n
JCP	y	y	y	n	n
J/SSL	y	y	y	n	n
IJCE	y	y	y	y	y

### Signature

	MD5/RSA	RSA	SHA0/RSA	SHA1/RSA	DSA	MD2/RSA
IAIK	y	y	y	y	y	n
JCP	y	y	y	y	n	n
J/SSL	y	y	y	y	y	n
JICE	y	y	y	y	y	y

Mode for cipher (DES)

	CBC	ECB	OFB	CFB	PCBC
IAIK	y	y	y	y	y
JCP	y	y	y	y	n
J/SSL	y	y	y	y	n
IJCE	y	y	y	y	y

Padding

	NULL	PKCS#1	PKCS#5	PKCS#7
IAIK	y	y	y	n
JCP	y	y	y	n
J/SSL	y	y	y	n
IJCE	y	y	y	y

JCE Compliant

	JCE 1.1	JCE 1.2
IAIK	y	y
JCP	y	n
J/SSL	y	n
IJCE	y	y

**A. ASN.1 and X509 Certificate**

---

Format : All of IAIK, JCP and J/SSL support ASCII (PEM) and binary (BER) format.

PKCS#7 (Certificate Chain Format) : IAIK and J/SSL support PKCS#7 but JCP does not.

**A. SSL Functions**

---

Protocols

	IAIK	JCP	J/SSL



RSA_WITH_DES_CBC_SHA	y	y	y
RSA_WITH_NULL_MD5	y	y	y
NULL_WITH_NULL_NULL	n	y	n
RSA_WITH_NULL_SHA	n	y	y
RSA_EXPORT_WITH_RC4_40_MD5	n	y	y
RSA_WITH_RC4_128_MD5	n	y	y
RSA_WITH_RC4_128_SHA	n	y	y
RSA_WITH_IDEA_CBC_SHA	y	y	n
RSA_EXPORT_WITH_DES40_CBC_SHA	y	y	n
RSA_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA	y	y	y
RSA_WITH_RC4_MD5	y	n	n
RSA_WITH_RC4_SHA	y	n	n
RSA_EXPORT_WITH_RC2_CBC_40_MD5	y	n	n
DH_RSA_EXPORT_WITH_DES40_CBC_SHA	y	n	n
DH_RSA_WITH_DES_CBC_SHA	y	n	n
DH_RSA_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA	y	n	n
DH_DSS_EXPORT_WITH_DES40_CBC_SHA	y	y	y
DH_DSS_WITH_DES_CBC_SHA	y	n	n
DH_DSS_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA	y	n	n
DHE_RSA_EXPORT_WITH_DES40_CBC_SHA	y	n	y
DHE_RSA_WITH_DES_CBC_SHA	y	n	y
DHE_RSA_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA	y	n	y
DHE_DSS_EXPORT_WITH_DES40_CBC_SHA	y	n	n
DHE_DSS_WITH_DES_CBC_SHA	y	n	n
DHE_DSS_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA	y	n	n
DH_anon_EXPORT_WITH_RC4_40_MD5	y	n	y

DH_anon_WITH_RC4_128_MD5	n	n	y
DH_anon_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA	y	n	y
DH_anon_WITH_DES_CBC_SHA	y	n	y
DH_anon_EXPORT_WITH_DES40_CBC_SHA	y	n	y
DH_anon_WITH_RC4_MD5	y	n	n

Accept Certificate

	IAIK	JCP	J/SSL
rsa_sign	y	y	y
dss_sign	y	y	y
rsa_fixed_dh	y	y	y
dss_fixed_dh	y	y	y
rsa_ephemeral_dh	y	y	y
dss_ephemeral_dh	y	y	y
fortezza_dme	y	y	n
fortezza_kea	n	n	y

Other functions

	Asynchronous handshake	proxy support	renegotiating	SunSSLAPIcompliant
IAIK	n	y	y	y
JCP	y	n	y	n
J/SSL	n	n	y	n

**A. Other issues**

---

- ◆ Documentation quality
  - IAIK : poor

- JCP : poor
- J/SSL : rich (200pp manual)
- ◆ Impressions of APIs
  - JCP's and J/SSL's API are symmetric between the server side and the client side. IAIK's is not.
  - IAIK's and J/SSL's X509, ASN.1 Object API are more user friendly.

---

## II. PERFORMANCE

---

### A. Cipher through SSL

---

We have obtained evaluation copies of IAIK SSL and JCP SSL and implemented FlexiNet to use them.

Time of call is the period to take a FlexiNet RPC call with no argument. Throughput was measure for both a null call (the smaller values in each cell of table below) and calls with a100 kbyte string argument (the larger value).

	TCP	IAIK(RSA_WITH_RC4_128_SHA)	IAIK(RSA_WITH_NULL_MD5)	JCP(RSA_WITH_RC4_128_SHA)	JCP(RSA_WITH_NULL_MD5)
call (msec/call)	8.1	158	130	168	147
through put (kbps)	500	33 - 66	48 - 110	37	47 - 110

### B. SSL negotiation

---

- ◆ IAIK
  - RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_SHA                    335msec/connection
  - RSA\_WITH\_NULL\_MD5                335msec/connection
- ◆ JCP
  - RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA            5035msec/connection
  - RSA\_WITH\_NULL\_MD5               4191msec/connection

Why is the JCP's handshake so slow?

- ◆ Because the asynchronous handshake makes a new thread.
- ◆ JCP SSL use java.security.SecureRandom, which sleeps a long time to generate a sophisticated random number for a session key and we could

not find a way to avoid this long sleep except replacing `java.security.SecureRandom`. IAIK SSL uses its own random number generator.

---

### III. CONCLUSION

---

JCP is stable for production use and users can expect commercial level support.

IAIK has some small bugs but is stable enough for production use. Support through is through the mailing list. IAIK plans to implement new features like proxy support and PKCS standard.

Recommendation for ANSA research: IAIK is good enough for research prototypes, but continue to monitor JCP for commercial use.

---